



Leeds
Safeguarding
Children Board

LSCB ANNUAL REPORT 2011/12

20 July 2012

David Radford - Independent Advisor to the Board

Highlights from this Report:

In October 2011 Ofsted published their report of the outcome of their announced re-inspection of Safeguarding in Leeds. The Report recognised significant improvements made across the City. Taken together with their unannounced inspection of contact, referral and assessment arrangements in January 2011 - when Ofsted noted 'remarkable and impressive improvements' - this report is a strong endorsement of the progress being made in Leeds.

Within the key statutory agencies services are being re-organised in line with strategic plans. During 2011/12 a new Directorate within Leeds City Council was created for services to children and young people. Teams that previously worked within Education Leeds, Early Years and the Integrated Youth Support Service, Children and Young People's Social Care, and the Director of Children's Services Unit, became an integrated service that is better placed to respond to the needs of children and young people growing up in Leeds.

The LSCB and Children's Services jointly commissioned an updated review of processes and decisions made in response to requests for service and referrals made to the 'front door' duty system for children and young people and their families by Professor David Thorpe. As a result restructuring the Children's Services duty system is viewed as a key task in contributing to the 'rebalancing' of the safeguarding system in Leeds and a new dedicated multi-agency Duty and Advice Team is being established in the Contact Centre from May 2012.

Changes taking place in 'Early Start' Health Service provision to families in Leeds are also aligned to the priorities of the Children and Young People's Plan. The development of an Early Start service bringing together Health Visitors and Children's Centre staff working together in localities and teams linked to the local 'Clusters' of other professionals is part of the further development of preventative early help.

In order to ensure that the significance of the pattern of usage of the Common Assessment Framework (CAF) was fully understood and that the CAF process was being effectively used as part of the 'rebalancing' of services towards increased use of prevention, the Children's Trust Board supported a

major review of the Common Assessment in Leeds and Mark Peel from Leicester University was commissioned to support this work. The work highlighted that in fact in 2010/11 the number of CAFs being instigated had compared well with other similar local authority areas.

In July 2011 the LSCB commissioned an independent assessment of the extent to which it was effectively carrying out the functions ascribed to it under statutory guidance. Overall, there was evidence at that time that almost all of the functions were being addressed to a satisfactory level or better and that clear plans were in place for further development where needed. This assessment was endorsed by an Ofsted inspection report published in October 2011

Overall good progress has been made against the objectives set for the year in an ambitious LSCB Business Plan.

The LSCB has inputted into the Children and Young People Plan (CYPP) 2011-15 and set challenges to the CTB for 2011/12 to further improve safeguarding arrangements and outcomes for children and young people. The LSCB Chair is co-sponsor of one of the 5 key CYPP outcomes: that children and young people are safe from harm.

The new LSCB Performance Management System (PMS), based on an Outcomes Based Accountability approach, was developed in 2010/11 for gradual implementation in 2011/12.

There is evidence of improvements in both the effectiveness and efficiency of child protection (CP) processes and in outcomes for Children and Young People at risk of or suffering significant harm:

- A new approach to child protection conferences has received overwhelming positive feedback from parents and professionals as a much more effective way of exploring risk, developing plans and engaging families.
- The number of Initial Child Protection Conferences held over the year has fallen steadily from a peak in July 2011.
- The number of Children and Young People subject to a Child Protection Plan has decreased from 1019 in April 2011 to 924 in March 2012. The further reduction in April 2012 to 893 suggests that this downward trend is continuing.

1.0 Introduction:

The LSCB has a key role in achieving high standards in safeguarding and promoting welfare, not just through co-ordinating but by evaluation and continuous improvement.' Working Together to Safeguard Children, 2010, page 93.

- 1.1 Leeds Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB) is a statutory body established under the Children Act 2004 and 'Working Together to Safeguard Children (2010)'. It is independently chaired and consists of senior representatives of all the principle stakeholders working together to safeguard children and young people in the City.
- 1.2 Its statutory objectives are to:
- Co-ordinate local work to safeguard and promote the welfare of children
 - To ensure the effectiveness of that work
- 1.3 The full Board currently meets bi-monthly and an Executive Group meets on the alternate months in order to maintain the momentum that the completion the Board's significant workload requires. The Board has a series of sub-groups, each with its own business plan, focused on key elements of the Board's work. The Board Manager is supported by a Business Unit which, complemented by recent additions to the establishment, is able to effectively support the varied elements of the Board's work. (See Appendix 1, Structure of the LSCB)
- 1.4 This report of the work of Leeds Safeguarding Children Board covers a year that has been characterised by the consolidation of significant changes and development in the governance, structure, membership, and operation of the Board that took place during the previous year. At the same time the national Safeguarding agenda, through a series of proposed reforms and developments, is driving significant change and this is reflected in the further changes that have been initiated during this year in how local child protection services are organised and provided.
- 1.5 The LSCB works closely with the Leeds Children's Trust Board (CTB). The CTB is specifically accountable for overseeing the development and delivery of the Children & Young people's Plan (CYPP).
- 1.6 This Report identifies challenges for both the LSCB and for the Children's Trust Board. The CTB must consider the report in preparing and refreshing the Children & Young People's Plan. The Munro Review, a review of the national Child Protection system, recommended that once published the Annual Report should also be submitted to the Chief Executive and Leader of the Council, the local Police and Crime Commissioner (when in place) and the Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Board. It is envisaged that the update of Working Together guidance, the publication of which is expected in the latter part of 2012, will support this recommendation. There is also a local agreement to submit it to the governance bodies of all partner organisations to support their governance of safeguarding practice in Leeds.
- 1.7 In order to achieve a level of consistency the format adopted for this report is similar to the one used last year. This is a structure that is recommended in current national guidance.

2.0 **LSCB Vision, Values and Principles**

2.1 Children, their welfare, protection and the promotion of their best interests are at the heart of everything the LSCB does. The existence and continued prominence of what the Board stands for and the commitment to how it carries out its work remains crucial.

2.2 The following was agreed through the LSCB members working together at Development sessions as part of the creation of the LSCB Strategic Plan 2011-15.

2.3 **Our Vision**

Is for Leeds to be a child friendly city in which children and young people are safe from harm in their families, their communities and their neighbourhoods.

2.4 **Our Values**

We will promote these values in order to influence our behaviours jointly with the Children's Trust Board

- *Celebrating diversity*
- *Engaging citizens locally*
- *Being open and honest*
- *Working as a team for Leeds*
- *Spending money wisely*

2.5 **Our principles**

We are as a Board:

- **Committed** to putting the child / young person at the centre of all that we do
- **Focused** on getting safeguarding right for children, young people and their families
- **Clear** about what we expect of safeguarding services
- **Informed** about how well protected children and young people are in Leeds
- **Open** about what we do and why
- **Co-operative and collaborative** with each other
- **Challenging** of each other and of the safeguarding services each partner provides
- **Effective** and providing value for money
- **Accountable** to the people of Leeds for how we invest our resources
- **Accessible** to and informed by children, young people and their families, the communities they live in, the staff in our organisations that serve them, and the priorities of the Children's Trust
- **Learning** from everything we do and changing as a result
- **Improving** practice and outcomes for children and young people

2.51 *All our work is underpinned by an agreed set of approaches, shared with the Children's Trust Board, so that we all work together to deliver improved outcomes for children and young people –*

- *The child IS the client*
- *Talking a common language*
- *Using 'outcomes based accountability' to improve outcomes in each locality across Leeds*
- *Doing things WITH children and families, not TO or FOR them*
- *Doing the simple things better – never doing nothing*
- *Supporting strong schools, settings, families and communities*
- *Involving everyone who has a part to play – a whole city approach*
- *Improving assessment and intervention*
- *Targeting resources to make the biggest impact on our priorities*

3.0 **The Safeguarding Context**

3.1 Leeds is the second largest city council in England. The population of the city has increased rapidly in recent years. The latest population estimate is 798,800 representing a 12% increase over the last 10 years, which is higher than the average regionally and nationally. The population of children and young people aged 0-19 is almost 180,000. Within this, the number of very young children (0-4 year olds) has increased faster with over 10,000 children born in Leeds in 2009/10. Leeds has a significantly higher proportion of 15–25 year olds compared to both the regional and national averages, with a total population of 289,000 0-25 year olds living in the city.

3.2 Leeds is a very diverse city, with over 130 nationalities included in a minority ethnic population of just less than 17.4%. The proportion of pupils in Leeds schools that are of minority ethnic heritage has increased by more than six percentage points since 2005 to 22.5% of pupils in 2011. A higher proportion of primary than secondary pupils are of minority ethnic heritage. Some 14% of pupils have English as an additional language and over 170 languages are recorded as spoken in Leeds schools. The largest minority ethnic groups in the city are the Indian and Pakistani communities but more recently there has also been a significant increase in economic migration, mainly from Eastern Europe.

3.3 The local authority area includes some rural communities, as well as densely populated inner city areas where people can face multiple challenges.

3.4 The Indices of Multiple Deprivation indicate that 19%, or over 150,000 people in Leeds, live in areas that are ranked amongst the most deprived 10% nationally. Around 30,000 children and young people, 23% of all those aged 0-16, live in poverty.

4.0 **Effectiveness of Safeguarding Arrangements in Leeds.**

4.01 This section of the Report draws together evidence from a range of sources to provide an overall picture of effectiveness. However, at the present time there is insufficient consistency in the methodology used to collect and interpret the data. This is a common picture around the Country. As a

result of recent national developments in how services are inspected there will, in the immediate future, need to be a significant move towards greater separation of the quantity of activity (how much are we doing?) the quality of the work (how well are we doing it?) and the outcomes for children and young people (what difference are we making?) This will rightly give an emphasis and greater focus on improving outcomes for children and young people – and on being able to evidence this. Both the CTB and the LSCB have during 2011/12 made significant moves in this direction with the adoption of the Outcomes Based Accountability (OBA) Quality Assurance Framework.

4.02 The Leeds Children’s Trust Board is responsible, through the implementation of the CYPP, for the strategic development of effective Safeguarding services in the City. The Leeds Children and Young People’s Plan 2011-15, which was agreed by the Children’s Trust Board in April 2011, has been refreshed following a review of the first year of implementation. The CTB has agreed the following four guiding principles to inform all work with children, young people and their families. These are predicated on a ‘restorative approach’ built on the basic premise that people are happier, more co-operative, productive and more likely to make positive changes when those in positions of authority do things *with* them rather to them or for them. This represents a commitment to a fundamental renegotiation of the relationship between Children’s Trust and Local Government Partners and local citizens.

- 1) The default behavior of Children’s Trust and Local Government Partners in all dealings with local citizens / partners / organisations should be a restorative one, combining high support with high challenge.
- 2) Children’s Trust and Local Government Partners should ensure that families, whose children might otherwise be removed from their homes, are supported to meet and develop a safe alternative plan before such action is taken.
- 3) For all other families where a plan or decision needs to be made to help safeguard and promote the welfare of a child, or children, the family should be supported to help decide what needs to happen. Conditions must be created to enable families to safely help themselves.
- 4) Children’s Trust and Local Government Partners must see all local schools as community assets and have a clear role in holding those institutions to account for the contribution they make to the wellbeing of the local population, regardless of the governance arrangements in place.

The use of the CAF and Family Group Conferences are seen as key approaches in underpinning these guiding principles.

4.03 Safeguarding children in Leeds is a significant component of the plan: ‘*that children and young people are safe from harm*’ is one of 5 outcomes sought; and of 11 priorities ‘*help children to live in safe and supportive families*’ is one of 3 that is seen as a key starting point. Of 16 key indicators of progress ‘the number of looked after children’ is one of 3 “obsessions” that are seen as key to the successful implementation of the plan.

4.04 Leeds Safeguarding Children Board has an important but distinctive role with the Children’s Trust Board in keeping children safe. Whilst the CTB is specifically accountable for overseeing the development and delivery of the Children & Young People’s Plan. The LSCB is responsible in turn for challenging the CTB on their success in keeping children and young people safe. The two Boards have established an ongoing and direct relationship, with regular communications, seeking to ensure there is no duplication of activity and no unhelpful gaps in strategic or operational policies, protocols, services or practice. The LSCB has a separate identity and independent voice from the Children’s Trust; it is not subordinate to or subsumed within the CTB.

- 4.05 The membership of each Board includes the Chair of the other Board to support close communication and a good working relationship. Agendas for each meeting routinely provide an opportunity for updates on key matters discussed at the other Board meeting.
- 4.06 The Chairs of the two Boards meet periodically to discuss the issues arising from meetings and to support close working between the two Boards. The Director of Children's Services facilitates these meetings. The LSCB Strategic Plan and Business Plan were presented to the CTB in June 2011. The LSCB Annual Report 2010/11 was accepted by the CTB in July 2011. LSCB Policy and Procedures and Learning and Development sub groups are contributing to the CTB workforce reform sub work on developing common values, attitudes and behaviours to underpin improved multi-agency working.
- 4.07 The LSCB has inputted into the Children and Young People Plan 2011-15 and set challenges to the CTB for 2011/12 to improve safeguarding arrangements and outcomes for children and young people. The LSCB Chair is co-sponsor of one of the 5 key CYPP outcomes: that children and young people are safe from harm.
- 4.08 Within the key statutory agencies services are being re-organised in line with strategic plans. During 2011/12 a new Directorate within Leeds City Council was created for services to children and young people. This brought together teams and services that previously worked within: Education Leeds; Early Years and the Integrated Youth Support Service: Children and Young People's Social Care: and the Director of Children's Services Unit, to create a new integrated services that is better placed to respond to the needs of children and young people growing up in Leeds.
- 4.09 A significant restructure has taken place within the directorate relating to:
- social work services delivered to children in need
 - children subject to child protection plans
 - children subject to care proceedings and children looked after by Leeds City Council.
- 4.010 These services will be delivered under the title of Children's Social Work Services (CSWS). Under the new structure children's social work teams are locally based in the same localities as other Council Services, using the cluster model already in place for groups of schools so they can work more closely with schools, health visiting teams and other professionals
- 4.011 The new teams include:
- Looked after children teams - all three areas of the city have dedicated provision for two different age groups, age 12 and under and 13 plus. These teams focus on the specific needs of looked after children implementing plans to ensure that more children experience permanence through adoption, special guardianship, return to their family or independence.
 - Social work teams - social work teams work with children who have high levels of need, children subject to child protection plans and those children subject to care proceedings. Locality-based social work teams now work very closely with local targeted and universal services to help reduce the number of schools, health visiting teams and other professionals that social workers and their managers work with. The aim is to ensure more in-depth professional liaison and sharing of information, for the benefit of children and young people.

4.012 There are a number of strands to this strategy:

- Improving prevention and early identification services to vulnerable and potentially vulnerable children to reduce family breakdown, in particular the redesign of the Common Assessment for Leeds and developing multi-agency 'front door' arrangements (referrals to and assessments by CSWS);
- Increasing evidence based services that prevent family breakdown and support children to remain within their family underpinned by Restorative Practice, Family Group Conferencing and Multi Systemic Therapy;
- Strengthening care planning for looked after children through the creation of specialist looked after children's teams.

4.013 The LSCB and Children's Services jointly commissioned an updated review of processes and decisions made in response to requests for service and referrals made to the 'front door' duty system for children and young people and their families by Professor David Thorpe. Professor Thorpe's research identified a significant increase in investigative and assessment work undertaken by, the then, Children and Young People's Social Care in response to 'requests for service' and referrals from across the partnership. However, this increase in workload was not matched by a proportionate increase in the level of support services provided to children and young people and their families. Moreover, the research identified a number of procedural and professional issues in the operation of the Council's Contact Centre and Children's Services Duty Room.

4.014 As a result restructuring the Children's Services duty system is viewed as a key task in contributing to the 'rebalancing' of the safeguarding system in Leeds and a new dedicated multi-agency Duty and Advice Team is being established in the Contact Centre from May 2012. The team will include education, police and health staff.

4.015 Changes taking place in 'Early Start' Health Service provision to families in Leeds are also aligned to the priorities of the CYPP. The development of an Early Start service bringing together Health Visitors and Children's Centre staff working together in localities and teams linked to the local 'Clusters' of other professionals is part of the further development of preventative early intervention.

4.1 External Inspection

4.11 In October 2011 Ofsted published their report of the outcome of their announced re-inspection of Safeguarding in Leeds. The Report recognised significant improvements made across the city. Overall, five of the nine categories that Ofsted assessed were rated as 'good' and four were rated as 'adequate' - there were no categories rated as inadequate. The key judgments of 'overall effectiveness' of Safeguarding in the City were rated as 'adequate' and the 'capacity to improve' was rated as 'good'.

4.12 Taken together with their unannounced inspection of contact, referral and assessment arrangements in January 2011 - when Ofsted noted 'remarkable and impressive improvements' - this latest inspection report is another strong endorsement of the progress being made in Leeds.

4.13 The report endorsed the view that developments in Safeguarding are making a significant difference to the well being and safety of children in Leeds. The inspection found that 'arrangements to ensure children are safeguarded are now secure'. It highlighted 'significant progress in improving

outcomes'. The inspectors did not identify any children left at potential risk of harm, and none of the cases reviewed were deemed to be inadequate.

4.14 Amongst the other areas that the inspectors highlighted were:

- The development of more child centred approaches, for example through the way that children are increasingly involved in child protection conferences so that their wishes and views are fully taken into account.
- Improvements in the way partnership between different services to safeguard children works, especially in terms of shared responsibility, vision and priorities, and the overall understanding that in Leeds, 'safeguarding is everyone's business'.
- That the Leeds Safeguarding Children Board is much improved.

4.15 The Report noted areas in which further development needs to take place:

- The need to improve the electronic social care record system (ESCR) – used by the Children's Social Work Service.
- Continuing to improve the timescales for initial children protection conferences.
- Improving the quality of assessments to help achieve a consistent standard across the service.
- Information sharing between partner agencies in relation to domestic violence.

4.16 As a result of the significant amount of progress made and the finding of this inspection that supported this view, the Government removed the Improvement Notice that had been placed on the City Council in 2009 in relation to its Safeguarding Services.

4.17 In order to maintain progress the CTB have agreed to continue with the model of external challenge provided by the Improvement Board that was established following the Inspection of 2009 and has agreed to set up a Bi-Annual Challenge Board of external experts. The Chair of the LSCB will sit on that Board.

4.2 Performance Management and Quality Assurance of Safeguarding Services

4.21 As described above, in order to progress the first desired Outcome of the CYPP; that 'Children and Young People are Safe from Harm', there are two key priorities against which progress is captured, tracked and regularly reported to the CTB. For the key priority, 'help children to live in safe and supportive families' the measure is the number of children who are Looked After by the Local Authority. For the second priority 'ensure that the most vulnerable are protected' the measure is 'the number of children subject to a child protection plan.

4.22 The new LSCB Performance Management System (PMS), based on an Outcomes Based Accountability approach, was developed in 2010/11 for gradual implementation in 2011/12. Key to judging safeguarding performance in Leeds is comparison with data from other Local Authority areas. The LSCB uses as comparators average data from 'Core Cities' (CC) and 'Statistical Neighbours.' (SN). It is noteworthy that the CTB, as part of its commitment to supporting Leeds becoming a 'Child Friendly City' has chosen a higher standard; that of comparing Leeds' performance against the average in other Local Authority areas, aiming to perform in the top 50%.

- 4.23 Key data relating to these two priorities was presented in detail to the LSCB through the Annual Performance Report. In the current climate of improvement and with the need to address some key pressure points within the safeguarding system, a small number of further scorecards have been maintained to report on key operational processes. These concentrate on three areas:
1. Entry to the Child Protection system (Numbers of referrals and CPPs, CAFs, Number of S47s, speed of assessment and conferences)
 2. Participation and engagement (Numbers of children/parents participating in conferences and reviews)
 3. Referrals and request for service to the Children's Social Work Service
- 4.24 It is planned that these measures will only be required for a transitional period. Once reporting against strategic priorities and vulnerable groups are well established there should be no requirement for additional process measures.
- 4.25 Analysis of the measures for priority areas in the CYPP that contribute to an understanding of the current effectiveness of safeguarding is as follows:
- 4.26 Children and Young People with a Child Protection Plan (CPP):
- The number of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) initiated over the year has fallen steadily from a peak in July and 1241 children ended a CPP which is a 14.7% increase from 2010/11 when 1082 children ended a CPP.
 - The number of C&YP subject to a CPP has decreased from 1019 (67.1/10,000) in April 2011 to 924 (58.0/10,000) by year end in March 2012 which is a decrease of 95 (9.3%). This is also less than the 2010/11 out turn number of 984 (64.8/10,000) C&YP subject to a CPP, marking a decrease of 60 or 6.0% over the year. Comparison with CCs (58.5 / 10,000) and SNs (39.0 / 10,000) suggests that this downward trend is appropriate.
 - Provisional data for the number of CP Plans in April 2012 suggests that this downward trend is continuing (893, 56.0/10,000).
 - These performance trends are a vindication of the introduction in August 2011 of the 'Strengthening Families' approach to CP Conferences and a more rigorous process for reviewing CP Plans.
 - The previous steady increase in CP Plan numbers through 2009/10 and the beginning of 2011/12 can be viewed as the result of an adjustment and more consistent application of thresholds for statutory intervention in response to the finding of the Ofsted unannounced inspection in 2009.
- 4.27 A breakdown of the reasons children were on CPP between June 2011 and March 2012 shows that on average 67.9% were due to multiple reasons, 2.8% sexual abuse, 11.6% neglect, 11.6% emotional abuse and 5.8% physical abuse. The prevalence of 'multiple categorisation' has a limiting impact on the analysis of why C&YP become subject to CP plans. Single, primary categorisation will be introduced for 2012/13.
- 4.28 The number of C&YP subject to CP plans from black minority ethnic (BME) backgrounds fluctuated throughout the year from 16 – 20% -, averaging

19%. This remains broadly in line with the proportion of the BME population on the rolls of Leeds schools (21.4%) and BME representation in the all age population (16%).

- 4.29 There is evidence of improvements in both the effectiveness and efficiency of CP processes and in outcomes for C&YP at risk of or suffering significant harm from:
- The continuing increase in the timeliness of ICPCs completed from 31.9% in 2010/11 to 54.0 in 2011/12; however this figure is still below the statistical neighbour (SN) comparator at 70%.
 - The reduction in the overall CPP rate by 6.8/10,000 over the year from 64.8/10,000 in (year end) 2010/11 to 58.0/10,000 in ((year end) 2011/12. This is in line with the Core Cities (CC) comparator 2010/11 figure of 58.5/10,000 and significantly higher than SN 2010/11 figure of 39.0/10,000.
- 4.210 The introduction in August 2011 of the 'Strengthening Families' model for child protection conferences focuses on risk analysis, shared responsibility for the child protection planning process and timely improvements for children. Better engagement with C&YP and their families through a 'restorative approach' and improved multi-agency planning will ensure that intervention is more effective and where plans are not working this is identified and corrected at an early point. The new approach to child protection conferences has received overwhelming positive feedback from parents and professionals as a much more effective way of exploring risk, developing plans and engaging families.
- 4.211 In 2012/13 the LSCB Audit programme will provide more qualitative information about the effectiveness of Child Protection Plans through two strands:
- (S1) To quality assure and audit the impact and outcomes for C&YP subject to CP Plans
 - (S2) To audit compliance with timescales for calling CP Conferences, reviews and core groups and identifying reasons for delays.
- 4.212 Children and Young People who are Looked After (LAC):
- Over 2011/12 there has been a small increase in the number of LAC (by 1.8% to 1474 on the 31 March). The rate of looked after children, 96.9/10,000, is above that of statistical neighbours of 74/10,000 but it is in line with the figure for Core Cities 95/10,000, which have similar demographics to Leeds. It should also be noted that these comparator figures are for 2010/11. However regional data indicates that the rate of increase across the region for 2011/12 was 4.4%. Had Leeds had a similar rate of increase there would have been 1550 looked after children. Indications from the first 2 months 2012/13, although outside of the timescale for this report, are that the number of looked after children has since reduced to that in April 2011.
- 4.213 The increase in the number of LAC occurred between January – March 2012 during a major restructure of the CSWS. During this period 103 children became looked after. Of these 47 children were aged under one and 70 were 5 or under. This may indicate a shift in the make up of the looked after children population in Leeds and highlights the importance of early intervention initiatives for families with young children, such as Early Start and the Family Nurse Partnership.
- 4.214 Children and young people from a mixed heritage background are over-represented in care making up 12% of the cohort, whereas those from an Asian background are under-represented comprising 4% of the cohort. Those from a Black background also make up 4% of the Looked after children population.

- 4.215 Leeds has maintained a high level of allocation of social workers to LAC (99 – 100%) over the year. Almost 14% of looked after children are being supported to remain within their extended families through placement with a family network carer. This is consistent with the principles of the Children Act 1989 and research findings that demonstrate that children that are appropriately supported within their family achieve better outcomes. 9% are 'placed with parents' and work is on going with CAF/CASS to promote, where appropriate, the revocation of care orders.
- 4.216 2011/12 has seen a 31% increase in the use of independent fostering agency (IFA) placements from 206 to 270 and of external residential placements from 76 to 89; a 17% increase. Indications are that this is consistent with an increase in the use of agency foster care across the region. The budgetary implications of this trend will be a matter of interest to the LSCB as it seeks to monitor the 'value for money' of safeguarding services from 2012/13.
- 4.217 There has been a downward trend in the percentage of LAC reviews within statutory timescales, 95.1% of reviews in April 2011 were within timescales, reducing to 81.1% in March 2012 although this is still higher than the 2010/11 result of 75.7%. The percentage of LAC who participate in their reviews has decreased over a rolling 12 month period from 92.0% in April to 81.0% in March which is lower than 2010/11 result of 82.2%.
- 4.218 The introduction within the CYPP of an 'obsession' focussed on reducing the number of C&YP who need to be accommodated by the Local Authority has underpinned the analysis of the effectiveness of the safeguarding system in Leeds and laid the groundwork for its 'rebalancing' to increase preventative services and reduce the need for statutory intervention.
- 4.219 There is evidence that 'turning the curve' has started with much work being undertaken to maintain the relative stability of overall LAC numbers during 2011/12. The indication of a trend of increasing numbers of LAC Jan – Mar 2012 will be monitored in 2012/13. Provisional figures for April 2012 of 1463 LAC would suggest that this is being stabilised.
- 4.220 The performance of key LAC processes remains a concern. LAC reviews and Health Assessments will have a significant impact on outcomes for looked after children and may be identified as a particular challenge for 2012/13 given the capacity pressures of servicing such a high level of looked after children and in the context of an appropriate focus on reducing the number of children and young people who need to be looked after. Implementation of LSCB Audit strand 3 (to quality assure and audit the impact and outcomes of child care plans for looked after children, including the quality of C&YP's participation in their statutory reviews) will provide a fuller picture of progress in these areas in the coming period.
- 4.221 *Children Missing and Child Sexual Exploitation:*
- 4.222 This is the third category of vulnerable children and young people that the LSCB is currently focusing attention on. Data has been collated on this combined grouping of vulnerable C&YP since September 2011 and reflects an increasing focus on this vulnerable group across the partnership.
- 4.223 Between 14/09/2011 and May 2012 there have been 643 recorded incidents of children and young people under 18 going missing, from these 285 went missing from residential units, 53 from foster care, 315 missing from home (in care of parents) and 2 were missing from other residences.
- 4.224 Return interviews for children and young people missing from both home and care are a crucial element of exploring the reasons they ran away and in responding appropriately through CAFs, referrals to CSWS, or linking into care planning as appropriate. Every child and young person who is

reported missing to the police has a return home interview by the police and those details are forwarded to the CS Independent Safeguarding Unit (ISU). If a case is open and has an allocated social worker then they are responsible for undertaking the return home interview. Information sharing following return interviews will be monitored in 2012/13.

- 4.225 The collection of information has enabled greater transparency of the frequency with which children in residential units have or are going missing and for how long. This monitoring allows the Integrated Safeguarding Unit to ensure that strategy meetings are arranged if a young person meets the criteria of four times in a month or six times in a two month period. A number of these meeting have already taken place and pro active plans put in the system both with social care and the safeguarding police.
- 4.226 Links have been set up between the city centre youth teams who assist in monitoring those at high risk and they have also been involved in undertaking return home interviews. This ensures that links are made within the community area that the child or young person lives and follow up work can be done with that child or young person.
- 4.227 At the end of March 2012 West Yorkshire Police had flagged 98 C&YP as being potentially at risk from sexual exploitation. The Children's Services Integrated Safeguarding Unit identified 31 C&YP believed to be at risk and 21 believed to have been exploited. Concerns about 4 C&YP previously identified as at risk were no longer held. Work is being undertaken at the beginning of 2012/13 to share relevant data about child sexual exploitation between West Yorkshire Police, Children's Services Integrated Safeguarding Unit, Genesis and Blast.
- 4.228 The data collected in Q3 & Q4 of 2011/12 represents the establishment of a baseline against which progress to address the issues posed by these vulnerable groups of C&YP can be gauged in 2012/13.
- 4.229 Operational Performance: Referrals and requests for service:
- 4.230 A total of 13,754 referrals were made to CSWS in 2011/12 compared to 13,643 in 2010/11 an increase of 111 (0.8%). The first three quarters of 2011/12 saw a decrease in the number of referrals from 3691 in Q1 to 3229 in quarter 3. Quarter 4 saw a slight increase in referrals to 3359 although this was still a 9.8% decrease from Q1.
- 4.231 Referrals made between December 2011 and March 2012 show that on average 33% of referrals came from Police, 17% health, 17% education and 33% other referral sources. Over that time period some of the referrals made have resulted in no further action (5.0% December, 2.6% January, 6.1% February and 7.7% in March)
- 4.232 Given the concerns in 2010/11 about the steadily rising number of referrals being accepted by Social Care it is reassuring that this trend was stabilised in 2011/12. The impact of the implementation of the new Duty and Advice 'front door' team will be monitored in 2012/13.
- 4.233 During 2011/12 there have been 864 CAFs instigated compared with 1098 in 2010/11. The fact that the number of CAFs initiated in 2011/12 was lower than in 2010/11 is, on the face of it, a cause for concern. Increasing the use of CAFs was included in the challenges to the CTB in the LSCB Annual Report July 2011. However, between August 2011 and March 2012 there were 621 CAFs instigated, which is an encouraging indicator that the trend is now showing the sought after increase in CAF usage.

- 4.234 In order to ensure that the significance of the pattern of usage of the CAF was fully understood and that the CAF process was being effectively used as part of the 'rebalancing' of services towards increased use of prevention, the Children's Trust Board supported a major review of the Common Assessment in Leeds and Mark Peel from Leicester University was commissioned to support this work.
- 4.235 The work highlighted that in fact in 2010/11 the number of CAFs being instigated had compared well with other similar local authority areas. What appeared to be continuing poor performance in the first part of the year reflected some local uncertainty about the future of the Common Assessment Framework following the decision nationally not to proceed with the e CAF and concerns across the partnership about the over complicated and time consuming nature of the existing process.
- 4.236 The common assessment record used in Leeds has been considerably simplified in response to feedback and the process has been revised. The CAF process is being re-launched in Leeds in the Summer of 2012 and will be integrated into the replacement for the current LCC ESCR system (due in 2013/14). This is designed to embed the centrality of CAF processes in the safeguarding system in Leeds and help to ensure that C&YP receive 'the right help at the right time.'
- 4.237 The number of Initial Child Protection Conferences held in 2011/12 was 1191 which is a reduction of 492 (29%) from 2010/11 when 1683 ICPCs were held. ICPCs held each quarter have reduced from 356 in Quarter 1 to 238 in quarter 4 (327 in Quarter 2 and 270 in quarter 4). This reduction would suggest that the 'curve is being turned' in the identification of whether C&YP are likely to be suffering or at risk of suffering significant harm.
- 4.3 'Section 11 Duty to Safeguard' Compliance
- 4.31 The Children Act 2004 is the legislative framework for safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children and s.11 places a duty on a range of organisations to make arrangements for ensuring that their functions, and services provided on their behalf, are discharged having regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children. Services they contract out to others should be provided having regard to that need and the application of this duty will vary according to the nature of each agency and its functions.
- 4.32 Following the completion and analysis of the 2010/11 s.11 Self Assessment Tool (SAT), distributed to partner agencies by the LSCB, a sample audit of recruitment, selection and supervision of staff was undertaken with four partner agencies. As a result recommendations were made as follows to improve practice in a number of areas and agencies asked to submit action plans. Examples of areas for improvement identified were:
- Ensure that websites are regularly reviewed from a user's perspective and that their commitment to safeguarding is more transparent.
 - Ensure that safeguarding responsibilities are explicit on all job descriptions and/or contracts within a service working with children and young people.
 - Consider frequency of training for recruitment panel members and how the panel's performance can be reviewed as part of the recruitment culture rather than if there are identified problems.
 - Review their new employee induction process in terms of the quality of the mentoring and ensure that it meets new employee's needs.
- 4.33 For 2011/12 the LSCB used the West Yorkshire Safeguarding Children Consortium s.11 self- assessment tool. This has had an impact on the extent to which comparisons can be made between 2010 and 2011 data due to differences in the wording of the documents. In addition the scoring system adopts a different format so it has only been possible to make general rather than specific comparisons.

- 4.34 Twenty partner agencies were asked to submit their s.11 documents. Nineteen were returned on time.
- 4.35 The results of self-assessment were reported to the LSCB. Overall the picture emerging through this self-assessment process was very positive. No safeguarding concerns were identified in key areas of practice and the following strengths were identified:
- 'Senior management commitment to the importance of safeguarding and promoting children's welfare':
 - 'There is a clear statement of the agencies responsibilities towards children available to all staff':
 - 'A clear line of accountability exists within the organisation for work on safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children':
 - 'Service development takes account of the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children and is informed where appropriate, by the views of children and families':
 - 'Staff training and development is available on safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children for all staff working with or in contact with children and families':
- 4.36 Where issues were identified these have been discussed with the agencies involved with a view to implementing changes and improving practice.
- 4.37 Areas for improvement were identified in the following areas:
- Potential safeguarding concerns were identified within Education as a result of a discrepancy between guidance issued to schools ('Safeguarding Children and Safer Recruitment in Education Jan 2007) and s(11) of the Children Act 2004 with respect to CRB checks being undertaken on staff. This is being considered by the Children's Services Leadership Team in order to harmonise expectations across children's services.
 - Following the audit, clarification was sought and received from a small number of partners about the identity of their senior officer who is responsible for ensuring that allegations received against staff are passed to the Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO)
- 4.38 Overall it is felt that the S11 audit process is very helpful to individual agencies, but also the sharing of the outcomes across the partnership makes an important contribution to partnership working.
- 4.4 **Serious Case Reviews and Learning Lessons Reviews**
- 4.41 The LSCB is responsible for initiating a Serious Case Review (SCR) in circumstances where there has been a death of a child and abuse or neglect is suspected, or where there has been a serious injury and there are concerns about interagency working. The purpose of such a review is to:
- Establish whether there are any lessons to be learnt from the case and from the way in which local professionals and organisations worked together to safeguard and promote the welfare of children.
 - Identify clearly what those lessons are, how they will be acted on, what is expected to change as a result and within what timescale *and*
 - as a consequence, improve inter-agency working to better safeguard and promote the welfare of children

- 4.42 Three SCR were completed during the year. There were recurring issues regarding training, communication, multi agency working and LAC policies in all three SCRs. In two of the cases there were specific issues related to LAC reviews, training and practice and SCR guidance. The identification of these lessons will inform the refreshing of the Business Plan for 2012/13. The Board is incorporating the auditing of the action plans from these SCRs into the newly established audit programme.
- 4.43 Of the three completed SCRs, Ofsted judged one to be 'adequate', one to be 'satisfactory' (following remedial work) and one received positive feedback (following a change in the way Ofsted undertakes evaluations of SCRs)

4.5 Managing Allegations Against Professionals

- 4.51 Dealing with allegations made against professionals is the role of an employing agency. However, the Local Authority is required to provide a coordinating role through the provision of a Local Authority Designated Officer, or 'LADO'. Individual agencies are required to notify the LADO of any allegations made.
- 4.52 The role of the LADO is to provide advice and guidance to employers and voluntary organisations, to liaise with the police and other agencies and to monitor the progress of cases to ensure that they are dealt with as quickly as possible consistent with a thorough and fair process.
- 4.53 An annual report has been provided to the LSCB on activity by the LADO during 2011/12. This report provides statistical information for the period, development work this year and plans for future development for the year 2012-2013.
- 4.54 There has been agreement for funding for a second LADO post within the structure of the Children's Services Integrated Safeguarding Unit, but at the time of writing the recruitment process has still to be completed. In the structure, those LADO posts will also have line management responsibility for some existing and new posts within the ISU.
- 4.55 The existence of the post of LADO has continued to improve the timeliness of responses to referrals, but comes under some strain when the LADO is on leave. That should improve when the recruitment process for the additional post is complete and has been greatly assisted by the provision of administrative support.
- 4.56 Since 2006-2007 the number of referrals to the LADO service has been growing with a significant increase, particularly in the last two years:

2006-2007 - 63
2007-2008 - 80
2008-2009 - 119
2009-2010 - 126
2010-2011 249
2011-2012 405

- 4.57 304 of the referrals were about specific children possibly being harmed by professionals, of which just under a third (94) were Looked After Children. Not all of them are Leeds children; several are placed by other Local Authorities in Independent Fostering Agency (IFA) or Private Residential units within the city area.
- 4.58 Only about a third of referrals led to the convening of an inter agency allegations management strategy meeting, which is similar to the proportion in the previous year. Again, the vast majority of all referrals involved employers taking action using their own codes of conduct for employees, following consultation with the LADO. Criteria for convening a strategy meeting are when there is a judgment either that a child may be at risk of significant harm or the behaviour of a professional may pose a risk of significant harm to children.
- 4.59 46 referrals to the LADO in the year led to Police investigations. These figures are low but similar to previous years and reflect the evidential difficulties in allegations made by children that are denied by those who may be responsible without corroborating information.
- 4.510 There has been a significant (over threefold) increase in referrals from Wetherby YOI. This is in part related to good practice and liaison developed between the YOI safeguarding committees and the LADO. The LADO is now contacted routinely by the Safeguarding Team at Wetherby whenever a trainee makes an allegation of ill treatment, whenever an officer uses restraint that is unwarranted and when a trainee sustains an injury in restraint. There is a similar positive relationship with Eastmoor Secure Children's Centre.
- 4.511 The number of referrals from the police and from health remains low. Of the 11 referrals, none were about a health care professional harming a child whilst at work, but were about the implications for their work given safeguarding concerns in their family life (own children subject to child protection plans and domestic violence being examples). This feature of the health referrals is also seen in the 101 referrals to the LADO which were not about specific children. Working Together (2010) is very clear that there should always be consideration of a person's suitability to work with children if there are safeguarding concerns outside of that person's workplace or contact with children.
- 4.512 An important part of the LADO role, which will be extended further with the introduction of the second post, is development work. The LADO is currently engaged in discussions with the LSCB on work around safer recruitment of staff, selection of carers and maintaining a culture of vigilance. Briefings for agencies on the LADO role and the management of allegations against staff are provided to many agencies including: Social Care Management, Child Protection Conference Chairs, Independent Reviewing Officers, Fostering Officers, the Early Years Partnership Service and a monthly programme of meetings with foster care support groups.
- 4.513 The LADO activity is part of the overall commitment to making Leeds a safer city for children, and future work will focus on how best to enable the child's voice to be heard in the work of the LADO, particularly around how children are supported and communicated with when they make referrals.
- 4.6 **Single and Multi-agency Auditing Activity.**
- 4.61 A LSCB multi-agency audit programme has been initiated during 2011/12 designed to check the embedding of changes resulting from lessons identified in SCRs and LLLRs. Six strands were agreed as subjects for quality assurance audits which will provide the LSCB Partnership with evidence of the effectiveness of aspects of safeguarding and the promotion of children and young people's welfare in Leeds:

- (S1) To quality assure and audit the impact and outcomes for children and young people subject to child protection plans.
- (S2) To audit compliance with timescales for calling child protection conferences, reviews and core groups and identifying any reasons for delay.
- (S3) To quality assure and audit the impact and outcomes of Child Care Plans for Looked After Children, including the quality of participation in LAC Reviews.
- (S4) To audit the effectiveness of the practice against policy on safeguarding outcomes for the children of teenage parents who have been referred to the Leeds Teenage and Pregnancy Pathway.
- (S5) To audit (a) the effectiveness of revised care and control policies in Special Inclusion Learning Centres (SILCs) and (b) when brought to the local authority's attention, the outcomes for children where independent advocates are provided when complaints are made by parents and children.
- (S6) To audit the extent to which the views of children and families inform agencies' service development regarding the safeguarding and promotion of children and young people's welfare.

- 4.62 Strand (1): to quality assure and audit the impact and outcomes for children and young people subject to child protection plans has been set up and initiated and will be ongoing through 2012/13. Emerging issues will be reported in September 2012.
- 4.63 Developmental work has been completed for strands (4) & (5) and the audits will commence in the first 6 months of 2012/13.
- 4.64 Audit tools have been developed for strands (2) & (3). These audits will commence in 2012/13.
- 4.65 Progressing strand (6): to audit the extent to which the views of children and families inform agencies' service development regarding the safeguarding and promotion of children and young people's welfare. is awaiting the development of the LSCB C&YP's Voice and Engagement Strategy.

4.7 **Evidence from Safeguarding in Schools**

4.71 Ofsted's inspections of schools include a specific focus on how the school addresses safeguarding issues, proactively and, when necessary, in a responsive way.

4.72 The following information demonstrates a very positive picture amongst those schools in the City that received an inspection during the year.

	Inspections under new Ofsted Framework	Inspections under old Ofsted Framework	Total number of Inspections
Primary	18	33	51
Secondary	3	4	7
PRU	1	1	2
Total	22	38	60

Safeguarding/Behaviour Judgements - Primary Schools

	Number	Percent
Outstanding	5	10%
Good	35	69%
Satisfactory	11	22%
Unsatisfactory	0	0%

Safeguarding/Behaviour Judgements - Secondary Schools

	Number	Percent
Outstanding	1	14%
Good	3	43%
Satisfactory	3	43%
Unsatisfactory	0	0%

Safeguarding/Behaviour Judgements - PRUs

	Number	Percent
Outstanding	0	0%
Good	2	100%
Satisfactory	0	0%
Unsatisfactory	0	0%

(The above figures use "The Effectiveness of Safeguarding Procedures" judgement from inspections under the old framework, and the "Behaviour and Safety" judgement from inspections under the new framework.)

4.8 Learning from Complaints

4.81 Complaints received about services for C&YP can also contribute to our understanding of the effectiveness of safeguarding arrangements and activity in Leeds. There is a well established complaints and representations process as part of the Council's services for children and young people.

4.82 The following information about complaints is drawn from all complaints dealt with by Children's Services. This service is in the process of developing relevant performance information.

4.83 Number of complaints during year 2011/2012 – 13

4.84 Who made the complaint

<u>How Involved</u>	<u>Number</u>
Adoptive Parent	1
Other Agency / Professional	3
Parent	6
Relative	1
Solicitor	2
Total	13

4.85 Number of children/young people requiring and provided with advocacy support - 0

4.86 Type of complaint

<u>Type of Complaint</u>	<u>Number</u>
Challenge Assessment Outcome	2
Staff Attitude / Conduct	2
Breach of Confidentiality	1
Alleged Child Abuse	1
Neglect	1
Process	3
Quality of Service	3
Total	13

4.87 **Outcome of complaint**

Outcome	Number
Locally Resolved	1
Stage 1	
Inconclusive	2
Not Upheld	5
Ongoing	1
Partially Upheld	4

4.88 Number of complaints progressed to stage two – 1
The complaint is against the area office and the child protection team

4.89 Number of complaints progressed to stage three – 1
The complaint is about the way the safeguarding concerns were dealt with.

4.810 Number of complaints progressed to the ombudsman - 0

4.811 Customer satisfaction surveys are conducted with parents and carers whose children receive a social work service. Responses are analysed and presented to the management team along with outcomes from formal complaints in order to identify strengths in practice as well as any shortfalls. Following three separate complaints and findings by the Local Authority Ombudsman, the local authority has taken appropriate and robust action to drive service improvement, including an inquiry by the council's scrutiny board into services for children with disabilities and special educational needs and additional health needs.

4.9 **Private Fostering**

4.91 Working Together to Safeguard Children 2010 sets out a policy and procedural function for the LSCB in relation to private fostering. The LSCB role includes monitoring and quality assurance, and to ensure that public awareness is raised about private fostering.

4.92 It is the duty of local authorities to promote public awareness of the requirement for those considering undertaking private fostering arrangements to notify the local authority. The local authority has a duty to satisfy themselves that the welfare of children or young people who may be privately fostered within their area will be satisfactorily safeguarded and promoted. It is a requirement of the Private Fostering Regulations, 2005 that an annual report is presented to the LSCB.

4.93 There has been an increase in overall numbers with 15 children being identified as privately fostered during this financial year compared to 10 children in the previous 2 years and 7 in 2008. Six of the children are aged 15 or over, 5 are between 10 and 14 years old, 3 are aged 5 to 9 and one child is aged 2 years. Comparator statistics are only available for year ending 2010. In 2010 comparison with Core Cities (the nearest neighbours in terms of size) indicates that Sheffield and Birmingham had identified 20 private fostering arrangements and Manchester 15. In the same year Leeds had identified 10. This compares to the 15 identified cases in Leeds at the year end March 2012.

- 4.94 Private fostering arrangements in Leeds were inspected in September 2008 and were judged to be inadequate. In January 2011, the service was independently reviewed and a further action plan developed. Some progress was made in all aspects of the plan. However, further internal auditing of the service indicated a significant lack of compliance with requirements. There is also a continuation of a low rate of reporting of private fostering arrangements albeit with year on year increase.
- 4.95 As a result management of the private fostering service has been transferred to the Council's head of service for looked after children and immediate and robust actions have been taken to address the issues identified. Additional specialist resources have been created and a restructuring of responsibilities has taken place so that those with expert knowledge of private fostering are directly involved in assessments. A updated action plan has been created which will address the issues and will be monitored by the new management accountability. One of the challenges to the LSCB, arising from this Annual Report is to monitor the progress required and privately fostered children and young people have been identified as an LSCB priority vulnerable group for 2012/13. Monitoring will be undertaken through a follow up report to the Board in November 2012 which will include the findings of a scrutiny enquiry.
- 5.0 **Assessment of the Extent to which LSCB Functions are being Effectively Discharged**
- 5.01 This section of the Report reviews on the way in which the LSCB has carried out its defined functions, intended to ensure that partner agencies work effectively together, and that the LSCB makes a significant contribution to progress on improving outcomes for children and young people.
- 5.02 In July 2011 the LSCB commissioned an independent assessment of the extent to which it was effectively carrying out the functions ascribed to it under statutory guidance. Overall, there was evidence at that time that almost all of the functions were being addressed to a satisfactory level or better and that clear plans were in place for further development where needed.
- 5.03 Two areas were lacking adequate plans which would address a shortfall:
- *'LSCBs should ensure appropriate links with any secure setting in its area and be able to scrutinise restraint techniques, the policies and protocols which surround the use of restraint, and incidences and injuries'*
 - *'The LSCB as the 'responsible authority' for 'matters relating to the protection of children from harm' under the Licensing Act 2003'*
- 5.04 As a result action has since taken place in both of these areas and the LSCB's contribution is proving beneficial. Further details are provided in the relevant section elsewhere in this report.
- 5.05 This work formed part of a broader Review of the LSCB activity, which was considered as part of a development session by the full Board in September 2011. The session involved Board members giving active consideration in a small group format to specific themes that emerged from each of the tools used as part of the Review work. The tools were;
- Conclusions from the LSCB Annual Report to the CTB 2010-11 on how effective the Board has been in undertaking core responsibilities.
 - Conclusions of the LSCB Governance Review.
 - The completion of a self - assessment 'Challenge and Improvement' tool by Board members.

- LSCB Sub-group Chairs' Reports evaluating performance during the year
- Independent Chair 360 degree evaluation.
- A feedback questionnaire with a range of LSCB stakeholders.

5.06

The overall conclusions from the information from each of these components confirmed the progress that the Board has made and which was independently confirmed in the Ofsted inspection, undertaken at that time and published in October 2011 which stated:

“The LSCB is adequate and meets its statutory responsibilities. It has an independent chair who provides effective leadership. She has worked hard to secure the effective engagement of all members and is beginning to challenge agencies on their contribution to safeguarding. The LSCB has appropriate senior manager representation. Partners have valued direct contact with the chair in their service locations. Attendance by some agencies is poor but this is being monitored and addressed and will be reported annually. A more focused Business Plan Oct 2010 - March 2011 with clear strategic objectives has been agreed. The LSCB annual report 2010/2011 is good; it takes a self-critical review of the board's performance both in terms of its achievements and challenges. The LSCB has reviewed and improved its multi-agency training programme and access to this high quality training is good. It has secured resources to establish new posts to support the business of the board in 2011-2012 and will use these to improve communication, consultation, quality assurance, performance monitoring and the participation of children and young people”. Ofsted Oct 2011

5.07

The themes chosen for the workshop were those that focused attention on the 'process' of how the Board undertakes it's work, rather than on what the Board does, which is primarily dealt with in the 'business' part of meetings, because evidence suggests that successful LSCBs pay attention to how members of the Board work together. This creates more engagement for all members and models co-operative working.

5.08

The themes worked on were:

- 'How can the LSCB enable children and young people to participate in the work of the Board so that their contribution assists the Board in carrying out its responsibilities?'
- 'What steps can the LSCB take to ensure active participation and involvement of all members of the Board and to promote inclusivity?'
- 'What further steps can the LSCB take to ensure that communication and co-ordination between the LSCB and the Executive Group is most effective.'
- 'If it is true that how LSCB members work together is crucial, because it is potentially mirrored at all levels of inter-agency working and will influence how practitioners work with the public, what steps can the LSCB take to take control of this process? How can the LSCB ensure that Board members work effectively together and take control of what is mirrored?'
- 'How can the LSCB ensure that it remains child focused in all the work that it does?'

5.09

Work undertaken on these themes has contributed to progress made by the LSCB during the year.

5.1 Work of the LSCB – Realising the Strategic Plan 2011-15 through implementing the Annual Business Plans.

5.11 *Strategic Plan 2011-15*

Initial feedback from the Annual Review process is that the existing strategic priorities and priority areas remain fit for purpose and that no significant changes should be made. On 20.04.12. the LSCB decided to change the status of 'Effective Governance' from a 'strategic priority' to a 'business priority.' This was in recognition of the progress that the Board has made in the past two years on its governance arrangements. Performance will continue to be monitored through the existing scorecard.

5.12 *The Annual Business Plan 2011/12*

Overall good progress has been made against the objectives set for the year in an ambitious business plan. 98% of tasks have been progressed, although slippage against timescales is evident in 37%. A more detailed summary of progress against business plan objectives is attached as Appendix 3 and updated Strategic and Business Plans are attached as Appendix 3.

5.13 A Report on the LSCB's income and expenditure for the year is available. (attached as Appendix 4). This shows a budgetted shortfall in income, which has been addressed by further use of the LSCB strategic reserve. A 'standstill' base budget has been set for 2012/13, again with a projected income deficit of £21,560.

5.14 In order to address this situation the Board have agreed to undertake a review of funding and value for money which will inform budget setting for 2013/14.

5.2 Development of Effective Inter-Agency Procedures

5.21 Leeds is part of a consortium of five West Yorkshire local authorities that contracts with a specialist company to produce the agreed Inter-Agency Safeguarding Procedures. During the course of the year Leeds has supported this arrangement and contributed to updates, which are undertaken on a six monthly basis.

5.22 The procedures are available on the LSCB website and updates are flagged to partner agencies so that practitioners are kept up to date.

5.3 Assessment of Single and Multi-Agency Training

5.31 Detailed information about the LSCB training programme 2011/12 has been included for the first time in the revised Performance Management and Quality Assurance System using the Outcomes Based Accountability (OBA) framework. Significant activity has been undertaken through the LSCB Learning & Development sub group to disseminate learning to support the effectiveness of multi-agency safeguarding practices and hence improve outcomes for Children and Young People.

- 5.32 A total of 117 training sessions were run in 2011-12, with a total of 2501 places booked. Of those sessions 38 were level 1 courses (for Third Sector agencies), providing 832 places and 34 sessions were Level 2 courses which provided 769 places. The remaining 45 sessions were “Additional and Specialist” courses, and provided 900 places. Of those places booked 2133 (85%) participants attended, 212 (8%) sent apologies, 143 (6%) did not attend and 13 (1%) attended but were either sent away for arriving late or left early. This exceeds the expected overall attendance rate of 75%.
- 5.33 In addition to the standing training programme of the LSCB a series of city-wide events were held for practitioners, which included:
- 10 briefings on the introduction of the Strengthening Families Framework approach to Child Protection Conferences.
 - A West Yorkshire Masterclass
 - Four SCR briefings
 - A conference to launch the updated CSE and Missing Children procedures
- 5.34 Reactive evaluation sheets are issued at the end of every training session through which participants are asked to evaluate the following aspects of the course:
- Have the learning outcomes been met
 - Whether the participants have increased knowledge, understanding and skills
 - If issues of equality and anti-discriminatory practice have been appropriately addressed
 - The methods used and the approach of the trainers
 - If the course met the participants expectations
 - The venue and its facilities
- 5.35 For the core courses Level 1 and Level 2 there was a response rate of 97%. For all questions the target of 90% or higher of the responses indicating that the training had been a positive and useful experience was achieved.
- 5.36 In addition qualitative data was collected in relation to what participants found the most useful, how the course could be improved, and how it would impact on their work practice.
- 5.37 The responses indicate that a high proportion of participants felt that the courses met their needs, that they found all of it useful and that they did not identify anything which required improving or changing. Although relatively small in numbers, suggestions were made as to how courses could be improved.
- 5.38 When considering potential impact on practice, participants predominantly identified that attending the course provided them with better awareness and increased knowledge. They also identified that it would improve their practice, and that they would share their learning with colleagues.
- 5.39 For the additional and specialist courses there was an 88% response rate, and it again hit the same identified target; with 95% of responses indicating that the training had been a positive and useful experience. The qualitative feedback again showed a similar pattern, with the same trends being identified.

- 5.310 Identifying how improvements in practice, multi-agency working and outcomes for children and young people had resulted from attending the training is captured through three monthly follow up questionnaires to participants and first line managers. Common reoccurring themes included; a better understanding, updated knowledge, increased awareness, improved knowledge of policies and procedures and more confidence. These outputs will have a significant impact on outcomes for children and young people in relation to appropriate and timely responses to concerns or identified need.
- 5.4 **Lessons about Preventing Child Deaths (CDOP)**
- 5.41 Under statutory national guidance contained in Chapter 7 of Working Together to Safeguarding Children, Leeds has both a Sudden Unexpected Death in Childhood (SUDIC) process, and a Child Death Overview Panel (CDOP) process. The two are separate processes, but are closely linked.
- 5.42 The Leeds Child Death Overview Panel (CDOP) was established from 1st April 2008 under guidance issued in Chapter 7 of Working Together to Safeguard Children 2006. The aim of the CDOP, (as required by the Local Safeguarding Children Boards Regulations 2006) is to undertake a comprehensive and multidisciplinary review of all deaths of children normally resident in Leeds aged under 18 years, in order to understand better how and why they die, and to use the findings to take action to prevent other deaths and improve the health, wellbeing and safety of children and young people.
- 5.43 The SUDIC process involves early notification of the unexpected death of a child, and a prompt process of investigation, led by the SUDIC Paediatrician. This may involve discussion with clinicians at the hospital, Police, Social Care and others. Sometimes a visit to the place of death is undertaken. A meeting is held with professionals involved with the child, to learn lessons. A report into the circumstances of the child's death is produced, which is shared with the Coroner, and with the CDOP.
- 5.44 The CDOP considers the death of each child, and is required to complete a national proforma regarding its findings for each child. The proforma include factors relating to the child and family, and service provision; categorization of the cause of death; a judgment regarding preventability of the death; learning points and recommendations; immediate follow up actions for the family; and whether the case should be referred to the LSCB Chair for consideration of a Serious Case Review. In addition, during the past year, the Leeds CDOP has piloted a West Yorkshire form to collect specific information about preventable factors from a public health perspective. In Leeds, a decision was taken to hold two separate Panels – one to review the deaths of younger babies who were never discharged from hospital ("Neonatal Panel"), and a second panel to consider the deaths of older babies and children ("Older Children Panel"). This approach has enabled the right sort of experts to attend each Panel, and to use their expertise most efficiently to consider those cases where they have an effective contribution to make.
- 5.45 The Chair of the Child Death Overview Panel prepared an Annual Report for the LSCB on activity during the calendar year, in this instance 2011, and provides a review of progress on previous recommendations, data on cases referred over the financial year, learning from examination of those cases, and recommendations for improving practice in the future.
- 5.46 The CDOP panel is concerned with prevention. It aims to identify those factors in the course of a child's life, and leading to the child's death, which might have been amenable to modification, and to make recommendations which will help to prevent similar deaths occurring in the future. With this in mind, the Leeds CDOP has made a small number of key strategic recommendations to the Leeds Safeguarding Children Board, building upon the cumulative understanding of child deaths acquired over this and preceding years. Both these new recommendations, and an update on progress towards previous recommendations, are included in the latest report.

- 5.47 As in previous years, there remain some persistent challenges in running the CDOP, and there is a continuing backlog of cases. At the end of March 2012, there were 94 outstanding cases awaiting review. The reasons for the backlog include continuing delays in receiving completed Agency Report Forms from various agencies and administrative issues in the Business Unit. Most recently, an agreement has been reached with Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, on which the heaviest demand falls, to utilize discharge letters as a primary source of information for the CDOP. It is anticipated that this will alleviate considerably the delays in compiling the panel information.
- 5.48 The Chair undertook a further review early in 2012, making further recommendations to improve the efficiency of the Leeds CDOP, drawing on different approaches used in Birmingham and other local areas. However, it was agreed to delay implementation of these proposals until after the publication of the updated version of Working Together, due in Spring/Summer 2012, in order to ensure that changes take account of new guidance.

5.49 **Notifications and CDOP Activity (April 2008 to 30 March 2012)**

Year	Notifications to CDOP Administrator			Number of Cases Reviewed by CDOP			Number of Cases Outstanding for CDOP Review		
	Neonatal	Older child	Total	Neonatal	Older child	Total	Neonatal	Older child	Total
2008-9	34	32	66	34	30	64	0	2	2
2009-10	30	41	71	28	29	57	2	12	14
2010-11	26	33	59	17	13	30	9	20	29
2011-12	25	29	54	2	3	5	23	26	49

- 5.410 As in previous years, the Panel has highlighted several issues arising from individual cases, which were recorded to be considered in the overall context of the Panel's findings. Some of the same issues arose in more than one case, and some have been highlighted in previous reports but are again prominent among cases considered this year. The following issues were highlighted during the period covered by this report:
- The risks of sudden unexpected, unexplained death among babies associated with co-sleeping, sleeping on sofas, inappropriate bedding, and parental smoking, alcohol and substance use.
 - Consanguinity (cousin marriage) as a risk factor for serious genetic conditions.
 - The importance of protective equipment and clothing in road traffic accidents.
 - The role of chicken pox (Varicella zoster) in 2 deaths, in light of a national policy not to provide routine childhood immunization for chicken pox.
 - The processes in Leeds for obtaining timely support from Social Care for families with children with very complex disabilities.
 - The high standard of care available to Leeds children with complex needs and at the end of life, from a range of agencies.
 - The importance of ensuring that routine childhood immunizations are made available to children who move to the UK from other countries.
- 5.411 Detailed recommendations are made to the LSCB which when implemented will potentially contribute to children and young people being safer in the future. (The full CDOP Annual Report 2011 is attached as Appendix 5)

5.5 Progress on Priority Issues/Groups of Children and Young People.

- 5.51 During the course of the year the LSCB has continued its role in monitoring and coordinating activity and supporting continuous improvement in work with those groups of children and young people that are seen as particularly vulnerable, and in need of the highest priority, those involved in 'front door processes' (requests for service, referrals responses and actions); those subject to a Child Protection Plan; and those who are 'Looked After'.
- 5.52 This year this has been extended to include children missing and those at risk or subject to child sexual exploitation. The LSCB & The Children's Society held a multi-agency city-wide conference in February 2012 to raise awareness of C&YP who go missing and are at risk of sexual exploitation and to launch revised procedures. Following the conference briefing sessions have been held to ensure that staff from across the partnership are clear about their responsibilities in this area.
- 5.53 Work is on going to develop good sound practice in this area. Work is also underway around domestic violence, drugs and alcohol, and mental health and their impact on parenting capacity. The LSCB is mindful of the need to broaden this approach to other vulnerable groups as progress is made with the current target groups.

5.6 Undertaking Serious Case Reviews

- 5.61 There are six SCRs and three LLLR sets of action plans currently in the process of implementation. A composite database held by the LSCB Business Support Team contains details of evidenced SCR/LLLR action plan progress in the last three years.
- . Good progress is being made on implementation of all six SCR action plans. One is in the early stages of implementation. There are currently 10 actions being progressed, 4 of which relate to SCRs completed prior to 2011. These are due to be completed by September 2012.
 - . All outstanding 'historical' out of area SCRs involving Leeds SCB or partner agencies have been implemented.
 - . Monitoring is ongoing on implementing SCR action plans from 3 SCR undertaken in other area that Leeds contributed to.
 - . 15 Actions from the three LLLRs are being progressed and monitored.
- 5.62 The LSCB Quality Assurance & Audit Programme includes 4 strands which test out the implementation and impact on outcomes for C&YP of actions from completed SCRs and LLLRs:
- S1) To quality assure and audit the impact and outcomes for children and young people subject to child protection plans.
 - S2) To audit compliance with timescales for calling child protection conferences, reviews and core groups and identifying reasons for delay.
 - (S4) To audit the effectiveness of the practice against policy on safeguarding outcomes for the children of teenage parents who have been referred to the Leeds Teenage and Pregnancy Pathway.
 - (S5) To audit (a) the effectiveness of revised care and control policies in Special Inclusion Learning Centres (SILCs) and (b) when brought to the local authority's attention, the outcomes for children where independent advocates are provided when complaints are made by parents and children.

5.63 The LSCB Performance Management Sub Group, as part of its annual review process, in July 2012, reviewed and refreshed the audit programme in the light of emerging lessons from SCRs and LLLRs. Information from the monitoring of compliance with policy and procedural changes will also be considered.

5.7 Engagement with the Community, Communicating and Raising Awareness

5.71 The LSCB has approved the establishment of a Communications Task and Finish group that will lead on developing proactive and reactive communication systems, participation by families, children and young people, and community engagement. The LSCB agreed a Communication Strategy on 22.06.12 which will steer how effective communication can be integrated into all aspects of the Board's work.

5.72 Increased capacity in the LSCB Business Unit will support communication of key safeguarding messages across both the professional and wider communities and the engagement of children and young people in the work of the Board.

5.73 Redesign of the LSCB branding is now completed and work to redesign the website is being undertaken. This will strengthen the LSCB's ability to deliver effective professional and community leadership.

5.74 The recruitment of lay members has not been progressed during the year as planned. Although the reasons for this are complex there is a determination to start a recruitment process early in 2012/13 so that by the end of year lay members are properly inducted into the work of the Board and can make the valuable contribution envisaged for community representatives.

5.75 There has also been insufficient progress in establishing consistent ways of obtaining the views of children and young people to assist the Board in its work. Although there is a firm commitment from all agencies to this work, the delay has centred on identifying a dedicated resource with specialist skills to support this initiative at a time of reorganisation. A high priority is being given by the Board to resolving these issues in the early part of 2012/13.

5.8 Children and Young People in Secure Settings

5.81 An independent review was undertaken in 2011/12 on the use of restraint in the secure estate for children and young people. Leeds City Council has two juvenile secure establishments within its jurisdiction – HMYOI Wetherby and East Moor Secure Children's Home (SCH).

5.82 The first Annual Review of the use of Restraint in secure Settings identified overall good practice across both East Moor Secure Children's Centre and HM YOI Wetherby. Nevertheless a number of areas for improvement were identified.

1. The differences between the two establishments in recording and reporting restraint incidents and in the data provided to the LSCB makes it difficult to make any overall comments – we would like to see a more standardised form of reporting to the LSCB.
2. The LSCB would welcome more commentary from the establishments on the month by month restraint numbers and whether there are particular explanations for this – for example specific children being accommodated, issues around gangs etc. – and what measures the establishments take to counter the effects of these.

3. While both establishments provide data on injuries to children, we are concerned that the classification is different in each setting.
4. There is insufficient data provided for the LSCB to comment on incidents of inappropriate use of restraint, or use of unauthorised (and untrained) methods.
5. Details of the different techniques and positions used during restraint incidents are insufficient to allow the LSCB to make any judgements in relation to risk and safety'

5.83 The following actions are being progressed as a result of an audit of restraint practices.

1. Leeds LSCB will work with East Moor and HM YOI Wetherby to achieve more consistency and common ground in their reporting to the LSCB. It should be noted that both Wetherby and East Moor have expressed their willingness to cooperate in this and have already put forward suggestions as to the data that would meet the LSCB needs.
2. Leeds LSCB will work with both establishments to find ways of sharing information on month by month restraint numbers and whether there are particular explanations for this and what measures the establishments take to counter the effects of these
3. Taking into account any changes to recording in YOIs as a result of the new restraint system, work will be undertaken with both establishments to ensure more consistency in relation to classification of injuries sustained as a result of restraint.
4. Leeds LSCB will work with both establishments to ensure that data is shared on inappropriate use of restraint, or use of unauthorised (and untrained) methods.
5. Leeds LSCB will work with both establishments to establish a mechanism for sharing this information.

5.9 The Licensing Act 2003

5.91 As a result of the introduction of the Licensing Act 2003 all licensing functions are carried out by the local authority. The LSCB is specified as a "Responsible Authority" in matters relating to the protection of children from harm.

5.92 Following liaison with the Leeds Licensing Authority and Safer Leeds, the LSCB contributes to the evaluation of applications by focusing on how the applicant demonstrates in their operating schedule how they intend to protect children from harm. As a responsible authority the Safeguarding Children Board can make representation to the Licensing Committee in relation to an application that raises concern in relation to the safeguarding of children. The LSCB Business Unit receives, on average, 20 applications per month.

6.0 Summary of Achievements in 2011/12

6.01 Building on the good working relationship being established between the LSCB & CTB the second LSCB Annual Report on the effectiveness of safeguarding arrangements in Leeds will hold the CTB to account for progress made in 2011/12 and identify new challenges for 2012/13.

6.02 The CTB has responded to the key challenge posed by the LSCB Annual report (July 2011) to 'rebalance the safeguarding system' to ensure that C&YP receive the right service at the right time through the CYPP 2011-15; confirming an outcome priority of 'keeping C&YP safe from harm' and initiating an 'obsession' on reducing the number of C&YP who require to be 'looked after.' This has generated a series of reviews of central components of the 'safeguarding system' (e.g. Front Door processes and decision making in response to referrals to CSWS; CAF processes; the operation of the CP Conference system) which have resulted in significant planned change in safeguarding arrangements for 2012/13.

- 6.03 LSCB learning and improvement activity has been maintained and expanded during 2011/12 to include regular partnership briefings and conferences addressing emerging safeguarding themes. Completion and implementation of the LSCB Professional Development Strategy will help to ensure an overview of safeguarding learning across the partnership can be established and its impact on practice and outcomes for C&YP evaluated. Links established with the LSCB Communication & Engagement task group will enable learning to be more widely disseminated.
- 6.04 The gradual implementation of the LSCB Performance Management System throughout 2011/12 provides the basis for a more sophisticated understanding of performance issues in 2012/13 and agreement reached in 2011/12 about how information is collected, collated and analysed will facilitate more effective and robust challenge to partners.
- 6.05 The involvement of the two secure children's establishments in Leeds in the annual review of the use of restraint has resulted in a small working group to pool safeguarding experience and approaches to this particularly vulnerable group of C&YP.
- 6.06 Progress against the LSCB Strategic Priority (4) 'Effective Governance' has been sufficient for this to be re designated as a 'Business Priority' for 2012/13; to be reported on through the Annual Review process. The LSCB BU will be fully staffed for the first time from July 2012, which will enable more consistent support to be provided to sub, task and reference groups.

7.0 **Review of Challenges to the Children's Trust Board made in last year's Annual Report**

- 7.1 The CTB accepted the LSCB Annual Review and the challenges made to the CTB on 7th July 2011 and asked for updates on progress re the challenges throughout the year. At the Sept CTB meeting it was agreed that the cluster Performance Framework needed to reflect the CTB and the LSCB reporting to one another on key indicators. It was agreed the performance management sub groups of both bodies should link up to ensure this takes place.
- 7.11 Significant work has been progressed, consistent with the challenges made to the CTB and also with the CTB Obsession (one of three) to 'Reduce the need for children to be Looked After (help children to live in safe and supportive families).

Challenge	Performance	Story behind the Data
<p>1) Rebalance safeguarding interventions across the continuum of need to ensure preventative work is undertaken appropriately and in a timely manner. To involve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved multi agency working at locality levels to ensure that children and young people receive the right service at the right time and that the Common Assessment Framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consistency in the CTB overseeing the LAC 'obsession' • Reduction in number of CAF 2011/12 • Plateau then reduction in no. of CP Plans 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction of a 'restorative approach' • Implementation of cluster working • Restructure CSWS • Development of CS targeted services

(CAF) is used appropriately to facilitate a “*team around the child*” approach.

- A change in the pattern of referrals and other processes used to communicate concerns between agencies that children and young people are at risk of harm.
- Reducing the number of children and young people who need to be “*looked after*”.

2) Ensure all partners are able to attend and/or provide input in a timely manner to Initial Child Protection Conferences enabling them to be held within timescales laid down in statutory guidance.

3) Consider how the performance improvements made to date are sustained and built upon within the context of increasing demand for child protection services.

4) Ensure that partners are able to demonstrate how they meet their safeguarding

- Static no. of referrals to CSWS 2010/11 – 2011/12
- No. of LAC stabilised over 2011/12 (1.8% increase at year end, subsequently reduced in 1st 2 months 12/13)

- Increasing timeliness ICPCs
- Reduction in timeliness RCPCs

Evidence of ‘turning the curve’ in a number of key components of safeguarding system:

- No. CP Plans
- Timeliness ICPCs
- Stabilisation LAC numbers

- Initiation LSCB QA & Audit Programme
- S(11) audit

(eg FGCs) to underpin all processes

- Development of multi-agency operational handbook
- Review of Early Start teams implemented in one cluster.
- Review and re-launch of CAF
- Intro ‘strengthening families’ approach to CP Conferences
- D Thorpe updated review of patterns of referrals and subsequent decision making
- Re-design of CSWS duty and advice team implemented from March 2012.
- CYPP LAC obsession 2011-15
- Integrated Social work and LAC services introduced March 2012.
- Legal ring fence of time to support revocation of care orders re placement with parents.
- LSCB Audit (S2) will examine this in 2012/13

LSCB Annual performance Report Analysis:

- 2010/11 identification of key Areas For improvement
- 2011/12 planning changes and implementing changes
- 2012/13 impact of changes to be reflected in improved outcomes for C&YP
- Increase pace of audit programme for 2012/13

responsibilities, through internal audit processes and by contributing to the LSCB multi agency auditing programme.

- Increase net for S(11) audit for 2012/13
- To request more meta analyses from partners internal reviews and audits 2012/13

5) Prepare to respond to the local implementation of recommendations provided by the 'Munro Review of Child Protection'

- Ongoing developments planned within the 'context of 'Munro.
- Allocation of CWDC funding to CSWS/LSCB to prepare for Munro implementation.

- Issuing of revised Working Together for consultation July 2012
- Pilot authorities trialling proposed changes.
- Work detailed in section 1-4 consistent with Munro implementation.
- LSCB Practitioner conference held May 2012

8.0 Challenges to the CTB for 2012/13

8.01 Arising from this evaluation of 2011/12 there are a number of new challenges for the CTB (accepted on 9 July 2012)

- To embed changes being implemented to the safeguarding system and be able to evidence the development of a more 'balanced' system (towards earlier intervention,) with improving outcomes for vulnerable children and young people.
- To ensure that high quality services are provided to C&YP within the statutory system (C&YP subject to CP Plans and LAC)
- To ensure that risk is appropriately considered as services delivery is developed in response to the Munro Review of Child Protection, so that children's safety is not jeopardised as a result.
- To lead the development within partner agencies of complementary quality assurance frameworks consistent with the 'The Children's Safeguarding Performance Information Framework' published by the Government in June 2012.
- To ensure that the potential risks to safe practice, as changes to how Health Services are provided are implemented, are kept under consideration.
- To provide the LSCB with a year end report for 2012/13 directly addressing progress made against challenges set.

9.0 Review of Challenges to the LSCB made in last year's Annual Report

Challenge	Performance	Story behind the Data
<p>1) To consolidate and develop further the progress that has been made in providing effective leadership and challenge to the safeguarding system in Leeds, engaging with both the professional partnership and the wider community of children, young people and their families.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual report to CTB July 2011 (incl. challenges) • Updates on safeguarding issues to CTB provided by LSCB Chair • Presentation of Annual Report to strategic bodies across the partnership • Quarterly performance reports received by LSCB with a particular focus on 2 vulnerable groups (CP Plans, LAC) • Slow progress in developing C&YP voice and influence strategy • Delay in appointing lay members 	<p>Increasing LSCB profile.</p> <p>CTB response through refreshing CYPP (more specific response to challenges requested for 2012/13.)</p> <p>Increasing comprehensiveness of data collection and sophistication of analyses.</p> <p>Care has been taken to ensure sufficient resources are available to support the agreed strategy.</p>
<p>2) To implement the work plans generated within the Business Plan 2011/12 to meet the objectives and outcomes set.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progress made on 98% of tasks • Slippage against timescales in 37% 	<p>Strategic & Business plans being refreshed in the light of the Annual Review process, the consultation on the re write of Working Together in 2012 and the proposed local framework for learning and improvement.</p>
<p>3) To develop and implement a communications strategy that undertakes campaigning and raising awareness activity of safeguarding issues</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication task group established. • Communications strategy accepted by LSCB 22.06.12. 	<p>Campaigns planned for 2012/13 incl. raising awareness about CSE and general safeguarding issues.</p>

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <p>4) To implement the new Performance Management System (PMS) in 2011/12 in order to receive improved information and more rounded intelligence about the effectiveness of safeguarding services, the impact of lessons learnt from reviews and audits and outcomes for C&YP.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New PMS implemented • 2 score cards reported on quarterly (CP Plans, LAC) • 4 Strategic priority score cards completed at year end • Score card on operational performance completed at year end • Score card re C&YP who are missing / at risk CSE introduced for last 6m of the year | <p>Gradual increase in data collection for PM framework</p> <p>Quarterly reports to LSCB based on analysis of information by Performance Management sub group (PMSG).</p> <p>To increase data collection from across the partnership in 2012/13.</p> <p>To refresh PMF & Audit programme in light of Annual Review and the Children's Safeguarding Performance Information Framework.</p> |
| <p>5) To set up and implement the LSCB multi-agency quality assurance and audit programme, particularly in relation to child protection, children in need, and early intervention processes and practice. This will include the impact of SCR action plans, and compliance with s(11) requirements.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit programme set up with 6 strands (drawn from SCRs, LLLRs, s11 audit). • Strand (1) initiated – impact and outcomes for C&YP subject to CP Plans. • Audit tools developed for 4 other strands | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pace of audit programme to be increased during 2012/13 • Early learning from strand (1) to be reported in September 2012 • S(11) audit 2012/13 to include 3 Sector agencies |
| <p>6) Audit of the arrangements for paediatric medicals is also included in this plan following some issues raised with the LSCB about current practice.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohort for auditing agreed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To be progressed in 2012/13 |
| <p>7) To undertake the annual s.(11) self assessment audit with partner agencies seeking improvements in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understanding when and how to initiate a CAF • Ensuring C&YP are made aware of their right to be safe from abuse | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S(11) audit completed for Board members • CAF process revised in 2011/12 • Additional area for focus in 2012/13 S11 Audits | |

- More consistent engagement with the self-audit process.
- . Refined audit tool to be used 2012/13
- Areas for Improvement identified:
- More consistent application of CRB checks across the partnership
 - Ensuring more consistent engagement with CAF processes
 - Information sharing
- 8) To develop a consistent approach to s.(11) audit and commissioning standards requirements that takes account of the challenges faced by small Third sector organisations.
- Common, user friendly s(11) audit tool developed.
 - LCC CS Commissioners to use tool as part of tendering and contract compliance processes.
 - New tool to be used in 2012/13 – to include third sector agencies and a wider spread of statutory agencies.
- 9) To effectively engage C&YP in the work of the LSCB
- Slow progress in developing C&YP voice and influence strategy
 - C&YP were engaged in rebranding of LSCB
 - CS restructuring delayed the identification of a dedicated resource to support the work
 - A Board workshop is planned for Autumn 2012 to build on contact made with Redcar and Cleveland Junior LSCB
- 10) To continue to develop QA processes to ensure safeguarding training undertaken by partner agencies and through the LSCB are of a consistently high standard.
- Partner agency Level 1 training materials QA'd and amended as appropriate.
 - To be developed as part of the Professional Development strategy 2012/13.
- 11) To undertake more effective evaluation of the impact on practice of training and development opportunities provided by the LSCB and partner agencies.
- Impact on practice questionnaires (3m after training event) disseminated to all attendees throughout year.
 - Increased response rate to be targeted in 2012/13

12) To improve the process for responding to, collecting, collating and analysing information about child deaths in order to identify in a timely manner lessons that may contribute to the prevention of deaths in the future.

- Reviews of process undertaken at 6m and 12m.
- Revisions made to process to simplify data collection and analysis and to improve timescales.
- Gradual improvement of timeliness over the year.

CDOP process to be reviewed and revised in the light of re write WT 2012.

13) To prepare to respond to the local implementation of recommendations provided by the 'Munro Review of Child Protection'

- All sub groups have considered the implication of the Govt's response to Munro.
- LSCB PMS was designed to incorporate Munro recommendations

- P&P sub to lead on responding to the WT consultation
- LSCB Strategic Plan & Business being refreshed in the light of WT Consultation.

10.0 Challenges to the LSCB for 2012/13 – structured under the LSCB Strategic Plan priorities.

10.01 Arising from this evaluation of 2011/12 there are a number of new challenges for the LSCB (accepted on 20 July 2012).

10.02 **Strategic Priority 1: Lead, Listen, Advise**

Exercise strategic leadership across all stakeholders: to support a child friendly city

Through the Annual Report to the CTB, to promote consolidation of improvements in service provision across the partnership and maintain the pace of change in the coming period.

To develop productive relationships with the Health & Wellbeing Board, and other appropriate strategic bodies

To support the transition in the NHS to a new framework of commissioning and delivering health services and ensure all the new organisations have strong safeguarding governance arrangements

Support the professional community to keep children and young people safe

Contribute to continuing development of safeguarding arrangements in schools.

Implement the policy and procedural changes resulting from the re-write of Working Together.

To develop the working relationship with Leeds Safeguarding Adult Board.

Influence the wider community to keep children and young people safe

Progress work to agree and establish a LSCB Voice & Engagement strategy for C&YP.

Increase engagement with faith groups

To undertake a community campaign to raise awareness of Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) and 'what to do if you are worried.'

10.03 **Strategic Priority 2: Learn and Improve**

Promote child-centred practice

To engage more effectively with operational managers and practitioners to ensure the direct impact of learning and development opportunities on multi-agency practice and improving outcomes for vulnerable C&YP.

Promote Professional Judgement

Complete work to produce and agree a Professional Development Strategy for safeguarding

Promote an “adaptive” safeguarding system

To review and revise the current SUDIC arrangements

To review and revise CDOP processes

To contribute to the local implementation of the Munro Review of Child Protection, including responding to the associated rewrite of ‘Working Together’ guidance.

10.04 **Strategic Priority 3: Know the Story, Challenge the Practice**

Agree what we need to know

Review and refresh the Performance Management Framework to ensure that appropriate multi-agency data is collected which is consistent with the development of a local framework for learning and improvement.

To monitor the progress in making the improvements required to the City’s private fostering service through a further progress report to be presented to the Board in November 2012.

Understand the responsiveness of the local safeguarding system

Understand the quality of the local safeguarding system

To increase the scope and pace of delivery of the LSCB QA & Audit Programme

To complete the process of establishing a truly multi-agency basis for the Performance Management System (PMS); by including more information and data from across the wider partnership.

To obtain a greater understanding of the quality of services provided to children and young people through the LSCB Audit Programme.

To develop a comprehensive understanding of S(11) compliance across the whole partnership.

To promote the adoption of the OBA approach to quality assurance across all partner agencies in relation to safeguarding services, so as to create greater consistency in data so that interpretation is more effective in its contribution to understanding effectiveness.

To require partner agencies to provide an annual report to the LSCB on how governance and accountability for safeguarding services have been undertaken.

Use the knowledge gained about the safeguarding system to make a difference

To increase the timeliness of PMS reporting to the LSCB to ensure the understanding of safeguarding issues / concerns is up to date and that action is taken appropriately to improve services and outcomes for C&YP.

Business Priorities

10.05

Demonstrate accountability

To ensure that sufficient progress is made in implementing plans for greater Community and Children and Young People engagement and awareness raising

Develop the capacity of the LSCB and its infrastructure to deliver core functions

Progress process to appoint lay members to the Board

Identify and manage risks to the delivery of the core functions

Undertake a funding and value for money review to inform budget setting for 2013/14.

11.00 Conclusion

- 11.01 This is a report of a year in which significant progress has been made, both in how child protection services in Leeds have been improved and in how the LSCB has progressed in undertaking its responsibilities. The LSCB's achievement has been to consolidate significant changes and development in the governance, structure, membership, and operation of the Board that took place during the previous year. For those agencies providing child protection services, the changes introduced in 2010/11 to how services are organised and provided have been built upon. The 'rebalancing' of services towards increasing the availability and the effective use of Early Help services to reduce the need for statutory intervention has been further progressed.
- 11.02 The positive endorsement from the unannounced Ofsted inspection in January 2011, which stated that areas of priority action had been addressed and that contact, referral and assessment processes, a significant part of effective Safeguarding, now met statutory guidance was encouraging.
- 11.03 There is, however, no room for complacency. The challenges to the Children's Trust Board for the coming year, detailed in section 9 of this report, make it clear that there is still much to do to ensure that these significant changes provide improvements to the lives of children and young people. The challenges for the LSCB, detailed in section 10 of this report, particularly highlight the need for the Board in the coming year to be able to gather and make use of detailed evidence of whether improvements in children's lives are being made.

12.0 Next Steps

- 12.01 This Annual Report will be presented to the CTB in September 2012. The Children's Trust Board in turn will want to give consideration to this report, how they respond to the findings, particularly to the challenges the LSCB is bringing to the CTB's attention, and will want to take them into consideration when reviewing the Children and Young People's Plan.
- 12.02 The Annual Report will also be submitted to the Chief Executive and Leader of the Council, the Council Executive, the Police Authority (in lieu of the local Police and Crime Commissioner) and the Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB). It will be presented to the Safer Leeds Partnership, as well as the HWB. It will also be sent to the Chief Executives of all partner organisations with a request that it is reported to their governance body.

13.0 Appendices:

1. LSCB Structure Chart
2. Summary of progress against LSCB business plan objectives (2011/12)
3. Strategic and Business Plans 2012/13
4. LSCB end of year Financial Report
5. CDOP Annual Report date 2011